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SUMMARY

We investigated links between swimming behavior and muscle bioenergetics in two emblematic Mediterranean fish species that have very different ecologies and activity levels. European sardines *Sardina pilchardus* are pelagic, they swim aerobically, school constantly and have high muscle fat content. Gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata* are benthic-pelagic, they show discontinuous spontaneous swimming patterns and store less fat in their muscle. Estimating the proportion of red and white muscle phenotypes, sardine exhibited a larger proportion of red muscle (~10% of the body mass) compared to gilthead seabream (~5% of the body mass). We firstly studied red and white muscle fiber bioenergetics, using high-resolution respirometers, showing a 4-fold higher oxidation capacity for red compared to white muscle. Secondly, we aimed to compare the red muscle ability to oxidize either lipids or carbohydrates. Sardine red muscle had a 3-fold higher oxidative capacity than gilthead seabream and a greater capacity to oxidize lipids. This study provides novel insights into physiological mechanisms underlying the different lifestyles of these highly-prized species.
INTRODUCTION

Fishes have a long history as models for energetics and exercise physiology (Fry, 1957; Brett, 1971). Currently, they are used to investigate muscle metabolism and pathologies at various levels of integration (Li et al., 2017; Bergen et al., 2019; Krishnan and Rohner, 2019). At the *in vivo* level, fish swimming gaits have been well-studied, to define various measures of performance (Brett, 1964; Beamish, 1978; Drucker, 1996; Peake and Farrell, 2004). Gaits comprise steady sustained aerobic swimming, for relatively low speed activities such as migration or holding station, and unsteady burst or sprint anaerobic swimming, for high speed swimming such as predator-prey encounters (Webb, 1984). Aerobic and anaerobic swimming relies on structurally separate muscles in fishes (Bone, 1978; Webb, 1984). Slow-twitch oxidative ‘red’ muscle is used for steady aerobic swimming, it is found in strips along the midline and typically represents about 10% of muscle mass (Bone, 1978; McKenzie, 2011). Fast-twitch glycolytic ‘white’ muscle comprises the large myotomal blocks that form the majority of the muscle mass (Bone, 1978; Johnston, 1983; Jayne and Lauder, 1994). Moreover, red and white muscle are fueled by different energetic substrates and metabolic pathways relying on carbohydrate or lipid fuels are gradually involved in these different locomotion modes among fish species, depending on their swimming needs (Moyes and West, 1995; Weber and Haman, 1996).

The European sardine *Sardina pilchardus* and the gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata* have very different ecologies, in particular in terms of their swimming activity. Sardines are pelagic, they swim constantly in schools, covering great distances (Webb, 1984). Gilthead seabream are coastal benthico-pelagic, usually foraging in a discontinuous pattern for limited distances, although they perform quite extensive seasonal migrations to and from breeding grounds (Lasserre 1976; McClelland et al., 1995; Grigorakis et al., 2002; Steinhausen et al., 2010; Mercier et al., 2012). Gilthead seabream and sardine also present a different lipid
storage capacity, which can be related to their lifestyle and locomotor behavior. The sardine stores lipids in its muscle, as the primary site of rapidly available substrate (Venugopal and Shahidi, 1996). By contrast, gilthead seabream mainly stores lipids in the liver (McClelland et al., 1995). Beyond the fundamental ecophysiological interest of comparing these two species, our study has broader ecological and socio-economic implications. Sparus aurata is highly prized in inshore fisheries, representing the second most captured species (Weiss et al., 2018, https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00478/58970/) and is also the main aquaculture species in the Mediterranean Sea, accounting for 44% of the farmed fish production. Sardinus pilchardus is the second most fished species in the Mediterranean as a whole, representing 17% of landings (FAO 2018. Global Capture Production 1950-2016 (online query), www.fao.org). Further, the sardine stock in the Gulf of Lions is currently considered to be ecologically unbalanced, with a major decline in final adult size and body condition (GFCM 2018), possibly mediated by differences in prey composition and feeding behaviour (Saraux et al., 2019; Queiros et al., submitted). While significant information is available on the seabream, due to its status as a farmed species (Pavlidis and Mylonas, 2011), much less is known about the physiology of sardines, due to the difficulties of maintaining them in captivity.

The aim of this study was to characterize aerobic (slow-twitch oxidative) muscle bioenergetics in the sardine and gilthead seabream. We hypothesized that the lifestyle of the sardine would be associated with adaptations for constant aerobic exercise, notably a high capacity for lipid-oxidative metabolism in their muscles compared with those of the more sedentary gilthead seabream.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fishes
The \textit{S. aurata} were bought in April 2016 from Cannes Aquaculture (Cannes, France), and transported to the Ifremer research station at Palavas-les-Flots (France). They were then held at a density of 4 kg.m\textsuperscript{-3} in outdoor 4 m\textsuperscript{3} tanks supplied with well-aerated seawater at prevailing seasonal temperatures (8–25°C). Fish were fed once a day in the morning with 1% body weight of commercial feed. The \textit{S. pilchardus} were captured in March 2016 off Sète (South of France) by a commercial purse-seiner adapted for this purpose. They were transported to the same site as seabream and held in quarantine tanks for health assessment.

After confirmation of an absence of pathogens, sardines were moved into indoor 3 m\textsuperscript{3} tanks supplied with water pumped directly from the sea (more details in Queiros et al., submitted). The photoperiod was adjusted each week to follow the natural cycle and sea water temperature was not controlled (averaging 12°C at this period of time) except to maintain a minimum of 10°C or a maximum of 25°C. Sardines were fed every day with 0.6% body mass of aquaculture pellets. Experiments were performed in February 2017 and approved by the Ministère Français de l’Enseignement Supérieur, de la Recherche et de l’Innovation (APAFIS # 4000-2016020415387815 v.3; APAFIS# 7097-2016093008412692).

\textbf{Tissue sampling and Fiber bioenergetics}

Fishes were fasted for one day before being euthanized with an overdose of benzocaine (1000 ppm) and small muscle samples were immediately withdrawn and stored at 4°C in MIR05 buffer containing 0.5 mM EGTA, 3 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 60 mM K-lactobionate, 20 mM Taurine, 10 mM KH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, 20 mM HEPES, 110 mM sucrose, 1g/L free-fatty-acid bovine serum albumin, pH = 7.1 (Kuznetsov et al., 1998). Thereafter, the entire red and white muscles, liver and visceral tissues were dissected and weighed.

Respiration rates of both red and white muscle fibers were measured using high resolution respirometers (O2K, Oroboros Instruments; www.orobros.at) in 2 ml of MIR05 solution at
12°C, following a protocol of sequential injections of substrates and inhibitors of the mitochondrial electron transport system (ETS) adapted from a previous study on Danio rerio (Teulier et al., 2018). According to this protocol, instead of using chemical permeabilization, fibers were gently mechanically disrupted to measure the cellular respiration rates. Basal non-phosphorylating respiration rate was obtained by addition of either a mixture of respiratory substrates (5 mM pyruvate/2.5 mM malate/5 mM succinate; PMS), which allow a full activation of the ETS by generating a convergent electron flow at the coenzyme-Q junction, or a lipid-derived substrate (40 µM palmitoyl-carnitine/2.5 mM malate; PCM). The phosphorylating rate of respiration was initiated by the injection of ADP (1 mM). The integrity of mitochondrial membranes was systematically checked by adding cytochrome c (10 µM). Maximal respiration rate was obtained using carbonyl cyanide-p-trifluoromethoxyphenyl hydrazine (FCCP; 1 µM). Finally, the complex III (ubiquinol-cytochrome c oxidoreductase) of the ETS was inhibited by an injection of antimycin A (2.5 µM). The factorial cellular aerobic scope refers to the ratio of FCCP-induced maximal uncoupling respiration rate to basal non-phosphorylating respiration rate (Roussel et al., 2015).

**Statistical analysis**

All data are expressed as means ± SEM. Species (sardine vs. seabream) and substrate (PMS vs PCM) effects on bioenergetics parameters were tested using 2-way ANOVA, or non-parametric 2-way ANOVA on ranks, depending on normality (Shapiro-Wilk test) and homoscedasticity (Levene test). When apposite, pairwise comparisons with adjustments for multiple comparisons (Holm–Sidak method) were conducted to detect further differences between substrates or species. Statistical analysis was performed using SIGMAPLOT 12 (Systat Software, Inc.; www.systatsoftware.com).
RESULTS

Body and tissue masses

Because of the much lower body mass of sardines, wet tissue masses were all significantly lower in sardines than in seabream (Table 1). However, relative to their body mass, the proportion of the different tissues varied between species. Sardines had a 2.5-fold higher proportion of red muscle to body mass than seabream, while visceral and white muscles were in similar proportions in both species (Table 1). The wet mass-to-body mass ratios (g/100 g BM) of liver were significantly lower in sardines than in seabream (Table 1).

Muscle fibers bioenergetics

Red and white muscle phosphorylating respiration rate (i.e. ADP-induced respiration rates with pyruvate/malate/succinate as respiratory substrates) were markedly different; white muscle fibers exhibited 6 to 12-fold lower respiration rates than red muscle fibers from sardine and seabream, respectively (Fig. 1A). Phosphorylating respiration rate of white muscle was higher in sardine than in gilthead seabream, while red muscle respiration rate was not statistically different between species (Fig. 1A). Using masses of white and red muscles, we then calculated the total muscle oxidative metabolism per gram of fish (Fig. 1B) and the relative contribution of white and red muscle to this total muscle oxidative metabolism (Fig. 1C). Sardines exhibited a significantly higher body mass specific-muscle oxidative capacity than gilthead seabream (Fig. 1B), and the contribution of its red muscles to whole body muscle oxidative capacity was slightly but significantly greater than gilthead seabream (67±1% versus 58±3%; Fig. 1C).

Because of the very low level of aerobic capacity in white muscles, the study regarding energy substrates oxidation was conducted on red muscles only. On the whole, red muscle fibers of sardines exhibited higher oxidative capacities than gilthead seabream,
regardless of respiratory substrates (Table 2). In details, the differences in ADP-induced respiration rate observed between species (2 and 2.5-fold higher in sardines than in gilthead seabream fibers respiring on PMS (p=0.11) and PCM (p=0.07)) were, however, not statistically significant. (Table 2). The rates of FCCP-induced maximal oxygen consumption were significantly higher in sardine than in gilthead seabream fibers, regardless of respiratory substrates (Table 2). The factorial cellular aerobic scope was not significantly different between fishes when fibers respired on PMS, but higher in sardine for a lipid-derived substrate, such as PCM (Table 2).

The higher muscle aerobic metabolism is even more pronounced when the rates of muscle oxygen consumption are expressed per gram of body mass when taking into account the total mass of red skeletal muscle in these two species (Fig. 2A). Despite huge differences in body mass-specific oxidative activities (Fig. 2A), the part of oxygen consumption associated with mitochondrial ATP synthesis was similar in the two species, accounting for about 75% and 87% of phosphorylating respiration rate with PMS and PCM, respectively (Fig. 2B). The remaining 13-25% of oxygen consumption was devoted to counteract proton leakage across the mitochondrial inner membrane (Fig. 2B). Hence, muscle mitochondria of both species allocated the same proportion of oxygen consumed to synthesize ATP. When fibers oxidized PMS, both species exhibited a large mitochondrial extra respiratory capacity (FCCP-induced maximal respiration rate minus ADP-induced phosphorylating respiration rate; Fig. 2B). By contrast, the extra respiratory capacity was reduced in the muscle fibers of gilthead seabream oxidizing a lipid-derived substrate (Fig. 2B). By comparison, sardine muscle fibers retained a large extra respiratory capacity with PCM, which was as high as that calculated with PMS (Fig. 2B).

**DISCUSSION**
The results obtained at the cellular level show that sardines have muscle biochemical adaptations for a lifestyle of constant sustained aerobic exercise, with a better ability to oxidize lipids than the sedentary gilthead seabream.

Muscles represent a large proportion of the body mass of both gilthead seabream and sardine (approximately 45%), which is in accordance with the classically described range of 40% to 60% (Bone, 1978). Even if the proportion of white muscle is roughly the same between species (~40%), the ratio between red muscle and body mass was significantly higher in sardines (above 10%) than in gilthead seabream (below 5%). Hence, red muscle represents almost 25% of the total muscle mass in sardines and only 10% in gilthead seabream. Our results are in line with the 30% obtained for *Sardina pilchardus* and the 15% in *Pagellus bogaraveo*, a fish closely related to *Sparus aurata* (Greer-Walker and Pull, 1975).

Overall, fishes with a more active mode of life have a higher proportion of red fibers (Webb, 1984; Dwyer et al., 2014). Red and white muscle also show different aerobic capacity, with respiration rates 6-fold to 12-fold higher in red than in white muscle of sardine and gilthead seabream, respectively. Even though red muscles contribute to a small proportion of total skeletal muscle masses, its high respiratory capacity explains why its oxidative activity contributes to a major part of total muscle respiration rate (Fig. 1). The high metabolic capacity found in the red muscle of the two species is coherent with the extensive evidence of differences in histochemistry, enzyme activity and respiratory capacity between red and white muscles of many fish species (Bone, 1978, Moyes et al., 1992; Martinez et al., 2003; Morash et al., 2008; Strobel et al., 2013; Zak et al., 2017; Teulier et al., 2018).

The body-mass specific aerobic metabolism of red muscle is markedly higher in *S. pilchardus* than in *S. aurata*. Interestingly, the relative increase in respiration induced by ADP phosphorylation, i.e. the oxygen consumption dedicated to drive ATP synthesis, was the same for both species. This indicates that red muscle fibers of both species allocate the same energy
to synthesize ATP and thus to sustain aerobic locomotor performance. However, the FCCP-induced extra respiratory capacity of red muscle fibers differed between species depending on the respiratory substrates. Hence, it was not different when fibers respirated on PMS, a mixture of substrates that fully activate the ETS by generating a convergent electron flow at the coenzyme-Q junction. It was, however, significantly lower in gilthead seabream than in sardines when fibers oxidized PCM, a lipid-derived substrate. The FCCP-induced extra respiratory capacity is controlled exclusively by mitochondrial oxidation of substrates, which include the electron transport system, dehydrogenases and translocases. Hence, the results indicate that the capacity to oxidize lipids is significantly higher in the red skeletal muscle of sardine than in gilthead seabream. Indeed, sardine red muscle fibers oxidize PCM at 79% the rate of fully activated ETS, whereas red muscle fibers of seabream oxidize lipids at 47%. This metabolic “specialization” toward lipids oxidation is also supported by a very high value of factorial aerobic scope in sardine red muscle fibers oxidizing a lipid-derived substrate. These results are in accordance with other studies showing that, for instance, red muscles of the pelagic coalfish (Johnston and Moon, 1980) or the endurance swimming rainbow trout (Kiessling and Kiessling, 1993) exhibit a high lipid oxidation ability. And by directly comparing the red muscles of skipjack tuna with the more sedentary freshwater carp, Moyes and collaborators showed that the former contained more active mitochondria with also a greater ability to oxidize lipids than the latter (Moyes et al., 1992).

Such difference of lipid aerobic metabolism results from a combination of both a higher respiratory capacity and proportion of red muscle in *S. pilchardus* than in *S. aurata*. However, we cannot completely rule out that part of the muscle metabolism difference between the two species might also be explain by large difference in size, as *S. aurata* weighting 8-fold more than *S. pilchardus*. Indeed, it has been reported that mitochondrial oxidative enzyme activities in both red and white muscles negatively correlate with body
mass of fishes (Childress and Somero, 1990; Pelletier et al., 1993; Burness et al., 1999; Almeida-Val et al., 2000; Davies and Moyes, 2007; Young and Egginton, 2009). For instance, the activity of cytochrome-c oxidase, which measures the maximal oxidative capacity of a tissue, correlate negatively with body mass with a scaling coefficient of -0.014 and -0.31 in red muscle of striped bass and white muscle of Atlantic cod, respectively (Pelletier et al., 1993; Young and Egginton, 2009). Based on these equations, body mass would explain between 30% and 60% of the differences in the lipid-supported maximal respiratory capacity between *S. aurata* and *S. pilchardus*. The rest would thus relate to differences in lipid energy metabolic pathway (β-oxidation process and/or mitochondrial translocation system), which might ultimately fit with the lifestyles of the two species. Lipids are well known to be a major fuel for long distance locomotion in animals (Weber, 2011) and to play an important role as energy substrates for sustained aerobic swimming in fishes (Johnston and Moon, 1980; Moyes and West, 1995; McClelland et al., 1995). It is therefore not surprising that pelagic sardines, that swim constantly, exhibit a higher ability to oxidize fat than bentho-pelagic and less active gilthead seabream. Finally, pelagic fishes are characterized by abundant fat deposits under skin and within red muscle, which are associated with their enhanced capacity to consume lipids as fuel, whereas less active demersal fish typically stock fat in their liver (McClelland et al., 1995; Venugopal and Shahidi, 1996). In this context, the very different lipid stores between *Sardina pilchardus* and *Sparus aurata* would also participate in the differences in the availability of fatty acids to fuel aerobic muscle function (McClelland et al., 1995; Venugopal and Shahidi, 1996).

In conclusion, our results show that pelagic sardine exhibits a higher percentage of red muscle than bentho-pelagic gilthead seabream, with a higher oxidative capacity at the cellular level. Sardine are also able to oxidize lipids at nearly 80% the rate of fully ETS activity, whereas gilthead seabream reach only 47% of the maximum respiratory capacity. However
even if muscle bioenergetics clearly show different patterns between these two species, directly linking the locomotion performances to the cellular energetics needs further investigations at the individual scale.
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Figure legends

Figure 1: Aerobic metabolism of red and white muscles in sardine and gilthead seabream. A) Phosphorylating respiration rate of white (white bars, n=2-4) and red (red bars, n=6) muscle fibers. B) Contribution of white and red muscles to total body mass-specific respiration rate. C) Relative contribution of white and red muscles to whole body muscle oxidative activity. Values are means ± sem. †p<0.05, significantly different from white muscle within the same fish species; *p<0.05, significantly different from gilthead seabream.

Figure 2: Bioenergetics status of red muscle fibers. Fibers were respiring either on pyruvate/malate/succinate to fully activate the electron transport system (ETS) or palmitoyl-carnitine/malate (a lipid-derived substrate). A) Contribution of mitochondrial proton leak (basal non-phosphorylating respiration), mitochondrial ATP synthesis (ADP-induced phosphorylating respiration minus basal non-phosphorylating respiration), and mitochondrial respiratory reserve (FCCP-induced maximal respiration minus ADP-induced phosphorylating respiration) to body mass-specific respiration rates of fibers. B) Contribution of mitochondrial proton leak, ATP synthesis and respiratory reserve expressed as percentage of phosphorylating respiratory capacity. Values are means ± SEM for n=6 independent fiber preparations. *p<0.05, significantly different from seabream.
### Table 1: Fish biometrics and tissue masses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissues</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Gilthead Seabream</th>
<th>Sardine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body mass, g</td>
<td>340 ± 20</td>
<td>40 ± 5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body length (mm)</td>
<td>270 ± 6</td>
<td>162 ± 5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red muscle</td>
<td>Fresh mass, g</td>
<td>15.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative mass, g/100g BM</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White muscle</td>
<td>Fresh mass, g</td>
<td>137 ± 14</td>
<td>14 ± 2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative mass, g/100g BM</td>
<td>40 ± 2</td>
<td>36 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Fresh mass, g</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative mass, g/100g BM</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral tissues</td>
<td>Fresh mass, g</td>
<td>25.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative mass, g/100g BM</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± sem for n=6. *p<0.05, significantly different from gilthead seabream.
Table 2: Respiratory variables of red muscle fibers from gilthead seabream and sardines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrates</th>
<th>Respiratory parameters</th>
<th>Gilthead seabream</th>
<th>Sardine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate/Malate/Succinate</td>
<td>Basal non-phosphorylating rate</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADP-induced phosphorylating rate</td>
<td>14.1 ± 3.5</td>
<td>27.4 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FCCP-induced maximal oxidative rate</td>
<td>26.4 ± 4.1</td>
<td>47.6 ± 7.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Factorial cellular aerobic scope</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitoyl-carnitine/Malate</td>
<td>Basal non-phosphorylating rate</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.5 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADP-induced phosphorylating rate</td>
<td>9.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>23.2 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FCCP-induced maximal oxidative rate</td>
<td>12.5 ± 3.3 †</td>
<td>37.8 ± 5.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Factorial cellular aerobic scope</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>15.4 ± 1.7* †</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oxygen consumption rates are expressed in pmol O$_2$ s$^{-1}$ mg of tissue$^{-1}$ and were determined at 12°C. Basal state, basal non-phosphorylating respiration measured in the presence of respiratory substrate alone; Phosphorylation state, ADP-induced phosphorylating respiration determined after addition of 1 mM ADP; Maximal respiratory state, FCCP-induced maximal uncoupling respiration determined after addition of 1 µM FCCP; Factorial cellular aerobic scope is the ratio of FCCP-induced maximal uncoupling respiration rate to basal non-phosphorylating respiration rate. Values are means ± sem for n=6 independent fibers preparations. *p<0.05, significantly different from seabream; † p<0.05, significantly different from pyruvate/malate/succinate within the same fish species.
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Figure A: Bar chart showing the body mass-specific activity of red muscle (μmol O₂·h⁻¹·g fish⁻¹) for Seabream and Sardine. The chart includes extra respiratory capacity, ATP synthesis, and proton leak.

Figure B: Bar chart showing the bioenergetics status of mitochondria (% phosphorylating respiration) for Seabream and Sardine. The chart includes fully activated ETS (pyruvate/malate/succinate) and lipid substrate (palmitoyl-carnitine/malate).